

मध्यप्रदेश शासन,
उच्च शिक्षा विभाग,
मंसूरगढ़, भोपाल

क्रमांक I/234496/2025/28/CC/2025/38, भोपाल, दिनांक 11-04-2025
प्रति,

- 1) आचार्य,
उच्च शिक्षा,
मंसूरगढ़ भवन, भोपाल
- 2) सचिव,
म.प्र. निजी विश्वविद्यालय विनियामक आयोग, भोपाल
- 3) कुलसचिव,
समस्त विश्वविद्यालय, मध्यप्रदेश
- 4) सचिव,
बार काउंसिल ऑफ इंडिया,
21, राजार एवेन्यू इन्स्टीट्यूट बिल्डिंग, नई दिल्ली - 110 002

विषय :- निजी पाठ्यक्रमों के संचालन के संबंध में मामलीय उच्च न्यायालय जबलपुर के निर्णय का पालन सुनिश्चित करने के संबंध में।

संदर्भ :- रिट याचिका क्र. 2758/2025 अंतर्गत मामलीय उच्च न्यायालय, जबलपुर का निर्णय दिनांक 25.03.2025.

उपरोक्त विषयवर्तमान रिट याचिका क्र. 2758/2025 अंतर्गत मामलीय उच्च न्यायालय, जबलपुर द्वारा दिनांक 25.03.2025 को निर्णय पारित किया गया है, जिसका आदेश पालन सुनिश्चित किया जाने का अनुरोध है।

आदेश - उपरोक्तानुसार

Digitally signed by
Beeran Singh Bhalawi
Date: 11-04-2025
13:28:16

(विराट सिंह भालावी)
अवर सचिव,
म.प्र. शासन, उच्च शिक्षा विभाग,
मंसूरगढ़, भोपाल

पु.क्र. I/234496/2025/28/CC/2025/38, भोपाल, दिनांक 11-04-2025
प्रतिनिधि -

1. विशेष सहायक, नाग, मंडी जी, मध्यप्रदेश शासन, उच्च शिक्षा विभाग, भोपाल,
2. निज सहायक, अस्मर, म.प्र. निजी विश्वविद्यालय विनियामक आयोग, भोपाल,
3. निज सहायक, कुलसचिव / कुलसचिव, समस्त विश्वविद्यालय, मध्यप्रदेश,
4. निज सहायक, सचिव, स्टेट बार काउंसिल ऑफ मध्यप्रदेश, जबलपुर,
5. समस्त शैक्षीय अतिरिक्त संचालक, उच्च शिक्षा, मध्यप्रदेश,
6. आचार्य, समस्त संबंधित विश्वविद्यालय, मध्यप्रदेश
..... की ओर सूचनाएं / पालनार्थ विहित।

अवर सचिव,
म.प्र. शासन, उच्च शिक्षा विभाग,
मंसूरगढ़, भोपाल

श्री दुर्गावती विश्वविद्यालय, जबलपुर(म.प्र.)
(एन) म.प्र. जबलपुर विश्वविद्यालय, जबलपुर



जबलपुर, दिनांक 17/4/2025

पु.क्र.मांक/अक्र/2025/215"35"
प्रतिनिधि सूचनाएं -

1. समस्त निजी विश्वविद्यालय (जबलपुर, कच्छा, इन्दौर, कटकी एवं नरसिंहपुर)
2. प्रभारी कंप्यूटर सॉफ्टवेयर को इस अनुरोध के साथ कि विश्वविद्यालय की वेबसाइट में अपलोड करने का कष्ट करें।
3. कुलसचिव जी के सचिव/कुलसचिव जी के निज सहायक, सचिव, जबलपुर।

कुलसचिव
श्री दुर्गावती विश्वविद्यालय, जबलपुर

1
IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT JABALPUR

BEFORE
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT,
CHIEF JUSTICE

&
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VIVEK JAIN

ON THE 25th OF MARCH, 2025

WRIT PETITION No. 2758 of 2025

VYOM GARG AND OTHERS

Versus

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS

Appearance:

Shri Mohd Amjad - Advocate for petitioner.

Shri Amit Seth - Addl. Advocate General with Shri Sahil Sonkushale -
P.L. for State.

Shri Aditya Veer Singh - Advocate for respondent No.2.

Shri Satyam Agrawal with Ms. Aashu Agrawal - Advocate for
respondent No.3.

Shri Shreyash Pandit - Advocate for respondent No.4.

Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma - Senior Advocate with Shri Quazi
Fakhruddin and Ms. Lavanya Verma - Advocates for intervener.

Shri Sanjay Agrawal - Senior Advocate with Shri Arpit Agrawal and
Shri Utkarsh Agrawal - Advocates for intervener.

Shri Akshya Pawar, Shri Mihir Lulwat and Shri Shri Navtej Ruprah-
Advocates for intervener.

WITH



2

WP-2758-2025

WRIT PETITION No. 32927 of 2024

DEEPTI AGRAWAL AND OTHERS

Versus

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS

Appearance:

Shri Akash Choudhary - Advocate for petitioner.

Shri Amit Seth - Addl. Advocate General with Shri Sahil Sonkushale - P.L. for State.

Shri Aditya Veer Singh - Advocate for respondent No.2.

Shri Satyam Agrawal with Ms. Aashu Agrawal - Advocate for respondent No.3.

Shri Shreyash Pandit - Advocate for respondent No.4.

Shri Lalmani Tripathi - Advocate for respondent No.5.

Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma - Senior Advocate with Shri Quazi Fakhruddin and Ms. Lavanya Verma - Advocates for intervener.

Shri Sanjay Agrawal - Senior Advocate with Shri Arpit Agrawal and Shri Utkarsh Agrawal - Advocates for intervener.

Shri Akshya Pawar, Shri Mihir Lulwat and Shri Shri Navtej Ruprah - Advocates for intervener.

WRIT PETITION No. 2793 of 2025

PANKAJ BHATT AND OTHERS

Versus

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS

Appearance:

Petitioner - Pankaj Bhatt present in person.

Shri Siddharth Shukla - Advocate for petitioners.

Shri Amit Seth -Addl. Advocate General with Shri Sahil Sonkushale - P.L. for State.

Shri Aditya Veer Singh - Advocate for respondent No.2.

Shri Satyam Agrawal with Ms. Aashu Agrawal - Advocate for respondent No.3.

Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma - Senior Advocate with Shri Quazi Fakhruddin and Ms. Lavanya Verma - Advocates for intervener.

Shri Sanjay Agrawal - Senior Advocate with Shri Arpit Agrawal and Shri Utkarsh Agrawal - Advocates for intervener.

Shri Akshya Pawar, Shri Mihir Lulwat and Shri Shri Navtej Ruprah - Advocates for intervener.

ORDER

Per. Hon'ble Shri Justice Suresh Kumar Kait, Chief Justice

Shri Anupam Rajan, Additional Chief Secretary, Higher Education Department is present in person. Shri Hari Narayanchari Mishra, Police Commissioner, Bhopal is also present in person.

2. Pursuant to order dated 07.03.2025, the respondent/State has filed status report. We have gone through the said report and upon going through the same, we hereby make it clear that in all renewal cases, the institutions shall deposit the fee with Bar Council of India by 31st December of the previous calendar year and if fee is deficit, Bar Council of India shall communicate the same to the concerned institutions within one week thereafter. It is made clear that renewal application shall be in the prescribed format as suggested by the Bar Council of India and if the defects of fees and



format are not cured within the time allowed by Bar Council of India, the Bar Council of India will be at liberty to withdraw the recognition.

3. It is further made clear that if the renewal application is in proper format and complete fee has also been deposited, then by 15th February, Bar Council of India shall communicate the institutions that renewal has been granted or not and the said information shall be uploaded on the portal in the month of March of the calendar year. If the name of any institution is not there on portal of Bar Council of India by 31st March, then the admitting authority, counselling authority, affiliating authority and college concerned shall intimate the students that course will only be for academic purpose.

4. It is made clear that if any institution does not come forward as per the direction of this Court and as per the regulations of the Bar Council of India, the Bar Council of India shall take final decision in the month of February itself so that there shall be no convergent state of mind with the candidates.

5. Since the colleges of the petitioners before this Court did not deposit the fee before the Bar Council of India and it did not renew the recognition, it is not the fault of the candidates and the Bar Council of India should have taken strict action against such institutions. Therefore, we hereby direct that the institutions, those have not deposited the fee, shall deposit the same within one week from today and on receipt of the same, the Bar Council of India shall decide renewal cases and issue directions to the State Bar Council that the applications which are pending for the enrolment of the candidates, they shall enroll them within two weeks from receipt of

such communication from Bar Council of India.

6. With the above directions, we hereby dispose of the petitions by directing the concerned authority that if any institution in future without having any recognition gives admission except for the academic purpose, criminal action shall be taken against such institutions as per law.

7. At this stage, we were informed by the counsel for the colleges that the University does not complete affiliation renewal process by 31st December of previous year, due to which colleges are unable to apply to Bar Council of India by 31st December. In view of this, we direct that when institutions apply to the University for renewal of affiliation, all formalities shall be completed by 31st December of the previous calendar year and all the proceedings with Bar Council of India shall be completed in this regard by 15th February of the calendar year.

(SURESH KUMAR KAIT)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(VIVEK JAIN)
JUDGE

Biswal